arXiv:1408.5650v3 [math.NT] 12 Oct 2014

Generation of class fields by using the Weber function

Ja Kyung Koo, Dong Hwa Shin and Dong Sung Yoon

Abstract

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field and $\mathcal{O}_K$ be its ring of integers. Let h_E be the Weber function on certain elliptic curve E with complex multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_K$. We show that if N (>1) is an integer prime to 6, then the function h_E alone generates the ray class field modulo $N\mathcal{O}_K$ over K when evaluated at some N-torsion point of E, which would be a partial answer to the question mentioned in [13, p.134].

1 Introduction

For a lattice Λ in $\mathbb{C}$, let

$$g_2(\Lambda) = 60 \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{\lambda^4}, \quad g_3(\Lambda) = 140 \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{\lambda^6}, \quad \Delta(\Lambda) = g_2(\Lambda)^3 - 27 g_3(\Lambda)^2$$

and

$$j(\Lambda) = 1728 \frac{g_2(\Lambda)^3}{\Delta(\Lambda)}.$$

Note that the series $g_2(\Lambda)$ and $g_3(\Lambda)$ converge absolutely, and $\Delta(\Lambda) \neq 0$ ([1, Lemma 10.2 and Proposition 10.7]). We further define the *Weierstrass $\wp$-function* relative to Λ by

$$\wp(z;\Lambda) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} \left(\frac{1}{(z-\lambda)^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{C})$$

with derivative $\wp'(z;\Lambda) = d\wp(z;\Lambda)/dz$. This is an even function which is periodic with respect to Λ.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11R37; Secondary 11G15, 11G16.

Key words and phrases. Class field theory, complex multiplication, Weber function.

The second named author was supported by Hankuk University of Foreign Studies Research Fund of 2014. The third named author was supported by the National Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Republic of Korea.

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field and $\mathcal{O}_K$ be its ring of integers. Let E be the elliptic curve over $\mathbb{C}$ with parametrization

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \varphi_E & : & \mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}_K & \xrightarrow{\sim} & E(\mathbb{C}) \ : \ y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2(\mathcal{O}_K)x - g_3(\mathcal{O}_K) \\ & & z & \mapsto & (\wp(z;\mathcal{O}_K), \wp'(z;\mathcal{O}_K)) \end{array} \tag{1}$$

so that it has complex multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_K$ with j-invariant $j(E) = j(\mathcal{O}_K)$ (see [1, §14] or [14, Chapter 6]). Then the map $h_E : E \to \mathbb{P}^1$ defined by

$$h_E(\varphi_E(z)) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{g_2(\mathcal{O}_K)g_3(\mathcal{O}_K)}{\Delta(\mathcal{O}_K)}\wp(z;\mathcal{O}_K) & \text{if } j(E) \neq 0, 1728, \\ \dfrac{g_2(\mathcal{O}_K)^2}{\Delta(\mathcal{O}_K)}\wp(z;\mathcal{O}_K)^2 & \text{if } j(E) = 1728, \\ \dfrac{g_3(\mathcal{O}_K)}{\Delta(\mathcal{O}_K)}\wp(z;\mathcal{O}_K)^3 & \text{if } j(E) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

gives rise to an isomorphism from $E/\mathrm{Aut}(E)$ onto $\mathbb{P}^1$ ([13, Chapter II, Example 5.5.2]), which is called the *Weber function* on E.

Let $\mathfrak{f}$ be a proper nontrivial ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$ and $K_\mathfrak{f}$ be the ray class field of K modulo $\mathfrak{f}$ (see §3). Hasse ([3]) showed by utilizing the theory of complex multiplication that

$$K_\mathfrak{f} = K(j(E), h_E(E[\mathfrak{f}])) \tag{3}$$

where $E[\mathfrak{f}]$ is the group of $\mathfrak{f}$-torsion points of E. However, it is still an open question whether $h_E(P)$ alone generates $K_\mathfrak{f}$ over K for some $P \in E[\mathfrak{f}]$ as far as we understand.

In this paper we shall give a partial answer to this question. More precisely, let $\mathfrak{f} = N\mathcal{O}_K$ for an integer $N > 1$ prime to 6, and let $K_\mathcal{O}$ be the ring class field of the order $\mathcal{O}$ of conductor N in K (see §3). We shall show that if K is different from $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, then the relative norm

$$\mathrm{N}_{K_\mathfrak{f}/K_\mathcal{O}}(h_E(\varphi_E(2/N)) - h_E(\varphi_E(1/N))) = \prod_{t \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm 1\}} (h_E(\varphi_E(2t/N)) - h_E(\varphi_E(t/N)))$$

generates $K_\mathcal{O}$ over K (Theorem 6.2 and Remark 6.3). It then follows that $h_E(\varphi_E(1/N))$ indeed generates $K_\mathfrak{f}$ over K (Corollary 6.4). For this we shall introduce Fricke invariants and use the second Kronecker's limit formula concerning Siegel-Ramachandra invariants.

2 Fricke and Siegel functions

Let $\mathbb{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(\tau) > 0\}$ be the complex upper half-plane. We define the *elliptic modular function* $j(\tau)$ on $\mathbb{H}$ by

$$j(\tau) = j([\tau, 1]) = 1728\frac{g_2([\tau,1])^3}{\Delta([\tau,1])}. \tag{4}$$

Furthermore, for $k \in \{1,2,3\}$ and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$ we define the k-th *Fricke function* $f^{(k)}_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)$ on $\mathbb{H}$ by

$$f^{(k)}_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{g_2([\tau,1])g_3([\tau,1])}{\Delta([\tau,1])}\wp(r_1\tau + r_2;[\tau,1]) & \text{if } k=1, \\ \dfrac{g_2([\tau,1])^2}{\Delta([\tau,1])}\wp(r_1\tau + r_2;[\tau,1])^2 & \text{if } k=2, \\ \dfrac{g_3([\tau,1])}{\Delta([\tau,1])}\wp(r_1\tau + r_2;[\tau,1])^3 & \text{if } k=3 \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

which is holomorphic on $\mathbb{H}$ and depends only on $\pm\left[\begin{smallmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ ([11, §6.1.A]). Here, for the sake of convenience, we often write $f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)$ for $f^{(1)}_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)$.

Let

$$\mathcal{F}_N = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}(j(\tau)) & \text{if } N=1, \\ \mathbb{Q}(j(\tau), f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau) \mid \left[\begin{smallmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \in (1/N)\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2) & \text{if } N \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Then it is well-known that $\mathcal{F}_N$ is a Galois extension of $\mathcal{F}_1$ whose Galois group is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\}$ ([11, Theorem 6.6 (1) and (2)]). In fact, $\mathcal{F}_N$ coincides with the field of all meromorphic modular functions of level N whose Fourier expansions with respect to $q^{1/N}$ ($q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$) have coefficients in the N-th cyclotomic field ([11, Proposition 6.9 (1)]).

Let $g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)$ be the *Siegel function* on $\mathbb{H}$ given by the following infinite product

$$g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau) = -e^{\pi i r_2(r_1-1)}q^{(1/2)\mathbf{B}_2(r_1)}(1-q^{r_1}e^{2\pi i r_2})\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^{n+r_1}e^{2\pi i r_2})(1-q^{n-r_1}e^{-2\pi i r_2}),$$

where $\mathbf{B}_2(X) = X^2 - X + 1/6$ is the second Bernoulli polynomial. Observe that it has neither zeros nor poles on $\mathbb{H}$, and its $12N$-th power belongs to $\mathcal{F}_N$ ([8, Chapter 2, Theorem 1.2]).

LEMMA 2.1. *Let* $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$ *such that* $\mathbf{u} \not\equiv \pm\mathbf{v} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^2}$. *Then we have the relation*

$$(f_{\mathbf{u}}(\tau) - f_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau))^6 = \frac{j(\tau)^2(j(\tau)-1728)^3}{2^{30}3^{24}}\frac{g_{\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v}}(\tau)^6 g_{\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v}}(\tau)^6}{g_{\mathbf{u}}(\tau)^{12}g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)^{12}}.$$

PROOF. It follows from [9, Chapter 18, Theorem 2], [8, p.29 and p.51] and definitions (4) and (5). □

3 Class fields over imaginary quadratic fields

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant d_K and $\mathcal{O}_K$ be its ring of integers. If we set

$$\tau_K = \frac{d_K + \sqrt{d_K}}{2},$$

then we see that $\tau_K \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\mathcal{O}_K = [\tau_K, 1]$ ([1, p.103]).

Let $\mathfrak{f}$ be a nontrivial ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$. We denote by

$$\begin{aligned} I_K(\mathfrak{f}) &= \text{the group of all fractional ideals of } K \text{ prime to } \mathfrak{f}, \\ P_K(\mathfrak{f}) &= \langle \alpha\mathcal{O}_K \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \{0\} \text{ such that } \alpha\mathcal{O}_K \text{ is prime to } \mathfrak{f} \rangle, \\ P_{K,1}(\mathfrak{f}) &= \langle \alpha\mathcal{O}_K \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \{0\} \text{ such that } \alpha\mathcal{O}_K \text{ is prime to } \mathfrak{f} \text{ and } \alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{f}} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and call the quotient group

$$\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) = I_K(\mathfrak{f})/P_{K,1}(\mathfrak{f})$$

the *ray class group* of K modulo $\mathfrak{f}$. By the existence theorem of class field theory there is a unique finite abelian extension $K_\mathfrak{f}$ of K, called the *ray class field* of K modulo $\mathfrak{f}$, such that

$$\mathrm{Gal}(K_\mathfrak{f}/K) \simeq \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$$

via the Artin reciprocity map for $\mathfrak{f}$ ([4, Chapter V, Theorem 9.1]). In particular, we call $K_{\mathcal{O}_K}$ the *Hilbert class field* of K and denote it also by H_K.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let E be the elliptic curve given in* (1) *and h_E be the Weber function on E defined as in* (2)*. Then,*

(i) *H_K is generated by $j(E) = j(\tau_K)$ over K.*

(ii) *If $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is a generator of $\mathfrak{f}^{-1}/\mathcal{O}_K$ as an $\mathcal{O}_K$-module, then $h_E(\varphi_E(z_0))$ generates $K_\mathfrak{f}$ over H_K.*

PROOF. (i) See [9, Chapter 10, Theorem 1].
(ii) See [9, Chapter 10, Corollary to Theorem 7]. □

REMARK 3.2. (i) Note that $j(\tau)$ gives rise to a bijection $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$, and

$$j(\tau_K) = \begin{cases} 1728 & \text{if } K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \\ 0 & \text{if } K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) \end{cases}$$

([1, Theorem 11.2 and p.261]).

(ii) If $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$, then one can readily attain

$$h_E(\varphi_E(r_1\tau_K + r_2)) = f^{(k)}_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)$$

where

$$k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}), \\ 2 & \text{if } K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \\ 3 & \text{if } K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}). \end{cases}$$

Now, let $\mathfrak{f} = N\mathcal{O}_K$ for a positive integer N and $P_{K,\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{f})$ be the subgroup of $I_K(\mathfrak{f})$ given by

$$P_{K,\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{f}) = \langle \alpha\mathcal{O}_K \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \{0\} \text{ such that } \alpha\mathcal{O}_K \text{ is prime to } \mathfrak{f} \text{ and } \alpha \equiv a \pmod{\mathfrak{f}} \text{ for some integer } a \text{ with } \gcd(N, a) = 1\rangle.$$

Let $\mathcal{O} = [N\tau_K, 1]$ be the order of conductor N in K, $I(\mathcal{O})$ be the group of all proper fractional $\mathcal{O}$-ideals and $P(\mathcal{O})$ be the subgroup of $I(\mathcal{O})$ consisting of principal $\mathcal{O}$-ideals. Since $I(\mathcal{O})/P(\mathcal{O}) \simeq I_K(\mathfrak{f})/P_{K,\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{f})$ ([1, Proposition 7.22]), there is a unique abelian extension $K_{\mathcal{O}}$ of K, called the *ring class field* of the order $\mathcal{O}$, such that

$$\mathrm{Gal}(K_{\mathcal{O}}/K) \simeq I_K(\mathfrak{f})/P_{K,\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{f}) \simeq I(\mathcal{O})/P(\mathcal{O}).$$

As a consequence of the main theorem of complex multiplication we have

$$K_{\mathcal{O}} = K(j(\mathcal{O})) = K(j(N\tau_K))$$

([9, Chapter 10, Theorem 5]).

Since

$$\mathrm{Gal}(H_K/K) \simeq \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K) = I_K(\mathcal{O}_K)/P_K(\mathcal{O}_K) \simeq I_K(\mathfrak{f})/P_K(\mathfrak{f}),$$

we obtain

$$\mathrm{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}}) \simeq P_{K,\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{f})/P_{K,1}(\mathfrak{f}). \tag{6}$$

Furthermore, the inclusions $P_{K,1}(\mathfrak{f}) \subseteq P_{K,\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{f}) \subseteq P_K(\mathfrak{f})$ imply

$$H_K \subseteq K_{\mathcal{O}} \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{f}}. \tag{7}$$

4 Fricke invariants

For an integer $N > 1$, let

$$V_N = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \mid \mathbf{v} \text{ has primitive denominator } N\}.$$

We call a family $\{h_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)\}_{\mathbf{v}\in V_N}$ of functions in $\mathcal{F}_N$ a *Fricke family* of level N if for every $\mathbf{v} \in V_N$

- $h_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{H}$,
- $h_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)$ depends only on $\pm\mathbf{v} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^2}$,
- $h_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)^{\gamma} = h_{\gamma^T\mathbf{v}}(\tau)$ for all $\gamma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\} \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_N/\mathcal{F}_1)$, where γ^T stands for the transpose of γ.

In particular, $\{f_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)\}_{\mathbf{v}\in V_N}$ and $\{g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)^{12N}\}_{\mathbf{v}\in V_N}$ are both Fricke families of level N ([11, Theorem 6.6 (2)] and [8, Chapter 2, Proposition 1.3]).

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field and $\mathfrak{f}$ be a proper nontrivial ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let $N\ (>1)$ be the smallest positive integer in $\mathfrak{f}$, and let $C \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$. Take an ideal $\mathfrak{c}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$ in C and set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{c}^{-1} &= [\omega_1, \omega_2] \quad \text{for some } \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \omega = \omega_1/\omega_2 \in \mathbb{H}, \\ 1 &= r_1\omega_1 + r_2\omega_2 \quad \text{for some } r_1, r_2 \in (1/N)\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

With the above notations let $\{h_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)\}_{\mathbf{v}\in V_N}$ be a Fricke family of level N. We then define the *Fricke invariant* $h_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)$ modulo $\mathfrak{f}$ at the class C by

$$h_{\mathfrak{f}}(C) = h_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\omega). \tag{8}$$

This value depends only on $\mathfrak{f}$ and C, not on the choices of $\mathfrak{c}$, ω_1 and ω_2 ([8, Chapter 11, §1]).

PROPOSITION 4.1. *The Fricke invariant $h_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)$ lies in $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$. And, we have the transformation formula*

$$h_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')} = h_{\mathfrak{f}}(CC') \quad \textit{for any } C' \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}),$$

where $\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}} : \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{f}})$ is the Artin reciprocity map for $\mathfrak{f}$.

PROOF. See [8, Chapter 11, Theorem 1.1]. □

REMARK 4.2. (i) Jung et al. ([5]) recently showed that if K is different from $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, then $f_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)$ generates $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ over H_K.

(ii) For simplicity, we mean by $g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)$ the Fricke invariant obtained from the family $\{g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)^{12N}\}_{\mathbf{v}\in V_N}$, and call it the *Siegel-Ramachandra invariant* modulo $\mathfrak{f}$ at C.

(iii) Let $\mathfrak{f} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\,|\,\mathfrak{f}} \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ be the prime ideal factorization of $\mathfrak{f}$ and $G_{\mathfrak{p}} = (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}})^\times / \{\alpha + \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}})^\times \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times\}$ for each $\mathfrak{p}\,|\,\mathfrak{f}$. Schertz ([10]) first proved that if

$$\text{the exponent of } G_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is greater than 2 for every } \mathfrak{p}\,|\,\mathfrak{f}, \tag{9}$$

then $g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)$ generates $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ over K. And, Koo and Yoon further improved in [7] that one can replace the exponent of $G_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in the assumption (9) by the order of $G_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

5 The second Kronecker's limit formula

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field and $\mathfrak{f}$ be a proper nontrivial ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let χ be a nonprincipal character of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$. We define the *Stickelberger element* $S_{\mathfrak{f}}(\chi)$ by

$$S_{\mathfrak{f}}(\chi) = \sum_{C \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})} \chi(C) \ln |g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)|, \tag{10}$$

and the *L-function* $L_{\mathfrak{f}}(s, \chi)$ on $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$L_{\mathfrak{f}}(s, \chi) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \frac{\chi([\mathfrak{a}])}{\mathrm{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{a})^s},$$

where $\mathfrak{a}$ runs over all nontrivial ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ prime to $\mathfrak{f}$ and $[\mathfrak{a}]$ means the class of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ containing $\mathfrak{a}$.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$ be the conductor of χ and χ_0 be the primitive character of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}_\chi)$ corresponding to χ. If $\mathfrak{f}_\chi \neq \mathcal{O}_K$, then we achieve*

$$L_{\mathfrak{f}_\chi}(1, \chi_0) \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \,:\, \text{prime ideals of } \mathcal{O}_K \\ \text{such that } \mathfrak{p} \,|\, \mathfrak{f},\ \mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{f}_\chi}} (1 - \overline{\chi}_0([\mathfrak{p}])) = -\frac{\pi \chi_0([\gamma \mathfrak{d}_K \mathfrak{f}_\chi])}{3N(\mathfrak{f}_\chi)\sqrt{|d_K|}\omega(\mathfrak{f}_\chi) T_\gamma(\overline{\chi}_0)} S_{\mathfrak{f}}(\overline{\chi}),$$

where $\mathfrak{d}_K$ is the different of the extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$, γ is an element of K such that $\gamma \mathfrak{d}_K \mathfrak{f}_\chi$ is a nontrivial ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$ prime to $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$, $N(\mathfrak{f}_\chi)$ is the smallest positive integer in $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$, $\omega(\mathfrak{f}_\chi) = |\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times \mid \alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{f}_\chi}\}|$ and

$$T_\gamma(\overline{\chi}_0) = \sum_{x + \mathfrak{f}_\chi \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{f}_\chi)^\times} \overline{\chi}_0([x\mathcal{O}_K]) e^{2\pi i \mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x\gamma)}.$$

PROOF. See [12, Chapter II, Theorem 9] or [8, Chapter 11, Theorem 2.1]. □

REMARK 5.2. (i) We have $|T_\gamma(\overline{\chi}_0)| = \sqrt{\mathrm{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{f}_\chi)} \neq 0$ ([12, p.107]).

(ii) Since χ_0 is a nonprincipal character of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}_\chi)$, we get $L_{\mathfrak{f}_\chi}(1, \chi_0) \neq 0$ ([4, Chapter V, Theorem 10.2]).

(iii) If every prime ideal factor of $\mathfrak{f}$ divides $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$, then we understand the Euler factor $\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \,|\, \mathfrak{f},\ \mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{f}_\chi} (1 - \overline{\chi}_0([\mathfrak{p}]))$ to be 1.

6 Generation of ring and ray class fields

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field, $\mathfrak{f} = N\mathcal{O}_K$ for an integer $N > 1$ and $\mathcal{O}$ be the order of conductor N in K. Let E be the elliptic curve stated in (1) and h_E be the Weber

function on E defined as in (2). By Proposition 3.1 (ii) and Remark 3.2 we know that $h_E(\varphi_E(1/N))$ generates $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ over H_K. However, in this section we shall show under some condition that one can pull the Hilbert class field H_K down to the ground field K.

For an intermediate field L of the extension $K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K$ we denote by $\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L)$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ corresponding to $\mathrm{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L)$ via the Artin reciprocity map $\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}} : \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K)$.

LEMMA 6.1. *Assume that K is different from $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ and N is prime to 6. Then there is a character χ of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ satisfying the following properties:*

(C1) *χ is trivial on $\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}})$.*

(C2) *$\chi(C') \neq 1$ for any chosen $C' \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \setminus \mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}})$ (if any).*

(C3) *Every prime ideal factor of $\mathfrak{f}$ divides the conductor $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$ of χ.*

PROOF. See [6, Lemma 3.4 and Remark 4.5]. □

THEOREM 6.2. *With the same assumptions as in* Lemma 6.1, *let*

$$\xi_N = h_E(\varphi_E(2/N)) - h_E(\varphi_E(1/N))$$

which lies in $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ by (3). *Then its relative norm $\mathrm{N}_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}}}(\xi_N)$ generates $K_{\mathcal{O}}$ over K.*

PROOF. Let

$$F = K(\mathrm{N}_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}}}(\xi_N))$$

which is an abelian extension of K as a subfield of $K_{\mathcal{O}}$. Suppose on the contrary that F is properly contained in $K_{\mathcal{O}}$. Then we can take a class C' in $\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F) \setminus \mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}})$. Let χ be a character of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ satisfying the conditions (C1), (C2), (C3) in Lemma 6.1. By (C1) and (C2) we note that χ viewed as a character of $\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F)/\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}})$ is nontrivial. Furthermore, it follows from Proposition 5.1, (C3) and Remark 5.2 that

$$S_{\mathfrak{f}}(\overline{\chi}) \neq 0. \tag{11}$$

On the other hand, since N is prime to 6, we may regard $C_2 = [2\mathcal{O}_K]$ and $C_3 = [3\mathcal{O}_K]$ as elements of $\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}})$ by (6). Let C_0 be the identity class of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$. Then we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_N^{12N} &= (f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\2/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K) - f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K))^{12N} \quad \text{by Remark 3.2 (ii)} \\
&= \left(\frac{j(\tau_K)^2(j(\tau_K)-1728)^3}{2^{30}3^{24}}\right)^{2N} \frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\3/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{12N}}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\2/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{24N} g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{12N}} \quad \text{by Lemma 2.1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \left(\frac{j(\tau_K)^2(j(\tau_K)-1728)^3}{2^{30}3^{24}}\right)^{2N}\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_3)}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_2)^2 g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)} \quad \text{by definition (8).} \tag{12}$$

Now that the assumption $K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ implies that $j(\tau_K) \neq 0, 1728$ by Remark 3.2 (i), this value is nonzero.

If χ is trivial on $\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/H_K)$, then χ can be viewed as a character of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})/\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/H_K) \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(H_K/K) \simeq \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. This yields $\mathfrak{f}_\chi = \mathcal{O}_K$, which contradicts (C3). Thus there exists a class $C'' \in \mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/H_K)$ such that $\chi(C'') \neq 1$. Now, consider the element x of F given by

$$x = \frac{\mathrm{N}_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}}}(\xi_N)^{12N}}{(\mathrm{N}_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}}}(\xi_N)^{12N})^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}(C'')}} = \mathrm{N}_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}}}\left(\frac{\xi_N^{12N}}{(\xi_N^{12N})^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}(C'')}}\right).$$

Since $\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}(C'')$ leaves $H_K = K(j(\tau_K))$ fixed, we attain by (12) and Proposition 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \mathrm{N}_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}}}\left(\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_3)}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_2)^2 g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)}\left(\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_2)^2 g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_3)}\right)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}(C'')}\right) \\ &= \mathrm{N}_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}}}\left(\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_3)}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_2)^2 g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)}\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_2C'')^2 g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C'')}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_3C'')}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$y = \frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_3)}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_2)^2 g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)}\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_2C'')^2 g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C'')}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_3C'')}$$

so that $x = \mathrm{N}_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}}}(y)$. We then derive that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})}\overline{\chi}(C)\ln\left|y^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)}\right| \\ &= \sum_{C\in\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})}\overline{\chi}(C)\ln\left|\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_3C)}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_2C)^2 g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)}\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_2C''C)^2 g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C''C)}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_3C''C)}\right| \quad \text{by Proposition 4.1} \\ &= (\chi(C_3)-2\chi(C_2)-1)(1-\chi(C''))\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})}\overline{\chi}(C)\ln|g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)| \\ &= -2(1-\chi(C''))S_{\mathfrak{f}}(\overline{\chi}) \\ &\quad \text{by definition (10), (C2) and the fact } C_2, C_3 \in \mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}}). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

On the other hand, we know that $(1-\chi(C''))$ is nonzero. And, we also get that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})}\overline{\chi}(C)\ln|y^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)}| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{E\in\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \\ E \pmod{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F)}}}\ \sum_{\substack{D\in\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F) \\ D \pmod{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}})}}}\ \sum_{C\in\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}})}\overline{\chi}(CDE)\ln|y^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}(CDE)}| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{E\in\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \\ E \pmod{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F)}}}\overline{\chi}(E)\sum_{\substack{D\in\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F) \\ D \pmod{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}})}}}\overline{\chi}(D)\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}})}\ln|y^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}(D)\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}(E)}| \quad \text{by (C1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{E\in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \\ E \pmod{\mathrm{Cl}(K_\mathfrak{f}/F)}}} \overline{\chi}(E) \sum_{\substack{D\in \mathrm{Cl}(K_\mathfrak{f}/F) \\ D \pmod{\mathrm{Cl}(K_\mathfrak{f}/K_\mathcal{O})}}} \overline{\chi}(D) \ln|x^{\sigma_\mathfrak{f}(D)\sigma_\mathfrak{f}(E)}| \quad \text{since } x = \mathrm{N}_{K_\mathfrak{f}/K_\mathcal{O}}(y)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{E\in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \\ E \pmod{\mathrm{Cl}(K_\mathfrak{f}/F)}}} \overline{\chi}(E) \ln|x^{\sigma_\mathfrak{f}(E)}| \sum_{\substack{D\in \mathrm{Cl}(K_\mathfrak{f}/F) \\ D \pmod{\mathrm{Cl}(K_\mathfrak{f}/K_\mathcal{O})}}} \overline{\chi}(D) \quad \text{by the fact } x \in F$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{because } \chi \text{ as a character of } \mathrm{Cl}(K_\mathfrak{f}/F)/\mathrm{Cl}(K_\mathfrak{f}/K_\mathcal{O}) \text{ is nontrivial,}$$

from which we obtain $S_\mathfrak{f}(\overline{\chi}) = 0$ by (13). But, this contradicts (11).

Therefore, we conclude $F = K_\mathcal{O}$ as desired. □

Remark 6.3. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_N &= h_E(\varphi_E(2/N)) - h_E(\varphi_E(1/N)) \\ &= f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\2/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K) - f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K) \quad \text{by Remark 3.2 (ii)} \\ &= f_\mathfrak{f}([2\mathcal{O}_K]) - f_\mathfrak{f}([\mathcal{O}_K]) \quad \text{by definition (8).} \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

On the other hand, we have the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm 1\} &\to \mathrm{Cl}(K_\mathfrak{f}/K_\mathcal{O}) \\ t &\mapsto [t\mathcal{O}_K] \end{aligned}$$

([2, Proposition 3.8]). Thus we can express $\mathrm{N}_{K_\mathfrak{f}/K_\mathcal{O}}(\xi_N)$ explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{N}_{K_\mathfrak{f}/K_\mathcal{O}}(\xi_N) &= \prod_{t\in(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm1\}} (f_\mathfrak{f}([2\mathcal{O}_K]) - f_\mathfrak{f}([\mathcal{O}_K]))^{\sigma_\mathfrak{f}([t\mathcal{O}_K])} \quad \text{by (14)} \\ &= \prod_{t\in(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm1\}} (f_\mathfrak{f}([2t\mathcal{O}_K]) - f_\mathfrak{f}([t\mathcal{O}_K])) \quad \text{by Proposition 4.1} \\ &= \prod_{t\in(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm1\}} (f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\2t/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K) - f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\t/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)) \quad \text{by definition (8)} \\ &= \prod_{t\in(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm1\}} (h_E(\varphi_E(2t/N)) - h_E(\varphi_E(t/N))) \quad \text{by Remark 3.2 (ii).} \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 6.4. *If N is prime to 6, then $h_E(\varphi_E(1/N))$ generates $K_\mathfrak{f}$ over K.*

Proof. If $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, then the assertion holds by Proposition 3.1 (ii) and Remark 3.2 (i).

Thus we let $K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, and set $L = K(h_E(\varphi_E(1/N)))$. Since L is an abelian extension of K as a subfield of $K_\mathfrak{f}$ by (3), it also contains $h_E(\varphi_E(1/N))^{\sigma_\mathfrak{f}([2\mathcal{O}_K])}$. Here, we note that since N is prime to 6, $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ contains the class $[2\mathcal{O}_K]$. We find that

$$h_E(\varphi_E(1/N))^{\sigma_\mathfrak{f}([2\mathcal{O}_K])} = f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{\sigma_\mathfrak{f}([2\mathcal{O}_K])} \quad \text{by Remark 3.2 (ii)}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= f_{\mathfrak{f}}([\mathcal{O}_K])^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}([2\mathcal{O}_K])} \quad \text{by definition (8)} \\
&= f_{\mathfrak{f}}([2\mathcal{O}_K]) \quad \text{by Proposition 4.1} \\
&= f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 2/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K) \quad \text{by definition (8)} \\
&= h_E(\varphi_E(2/N)) \quad \text{by Remark 3.2 (ii).}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
L &= K(\xi_N, h_E(\varphi_E(1/N))) \quad \text{where } \xi_N = h_E(\varphi_E(2/N)) - h_E(\varphi_E(1/N)) \\
&= K(\mathrm{N}_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K_{\mathcal{O}}}(\xi_N), h_E(\varphi_E(1/N))) \\
&= K_{\mathcal{O}}(h_E(\varphi_E(1/N))) \quad \text{by Theorem 6.2} \\
&= K_{\mathfrak{f}} \quad \text{by (7) and Proposition 3.1 (ii).}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we achieve that $L = K_{\mathfrak{f}}$. □

References

[1] D. A. Cox, *Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$: Fermat, Class Field, and Complex Multiplication*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989.

[2] I. S. Eum, J. K. Koo and D. H. Shin, *Ring class invariants over imaginary quadratic fields*, Forum Math., to appear, DOI 10.1515/forum-2014-0007.

[3] H. Hasse, *Neue Begründung der Komplexen Multiplikation I, II*, J. für die Reine und Angewandte Math. 157, 165 (1927, 1931), 115–139, 64–88.

[4] G. J. Janusz, *Algebraic Number Fields*, 2nd edn, Grad. Studies in Math. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1996.

[5] H. Y. Jung, J. K. Koo and D. H. Shin, *On some Fricke families and application to the Lang-Schertz conjecture*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.5423.

[6] J. K. Koo, D. H. Shin and D. S. Yoon, *Ring class fields by smaller generators*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.3282.

[7] J. K. Koo and D. S. Yoon, *Construction of ray class fields by smaller generators and applications*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.5713.

[8] D. Kubert and S. Lang, *Modular Units*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 244, Spinger-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1981.

[9] S. Lang, *Elliptic Functions*, With an appendix by J. Tate, 2nd edn, Grad. Texts in Math. 112, Spinger-Verlag, New York, 1987.

[10] R. Schertz, *Construction of ray class fields by elliptic units*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 9 (1997), no. 2, 383–394.

[11] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions*, Iwanami Shoten and Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1971.

[12] C. L. Siegel, *Advanced Analytic Number Theory*, 2nd edn, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics 9, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1980.

[13] J. H. Silverman, *Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Grad. Texts in Math. 151, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.

[14] J. H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, 2nd edn, Grad. Texts in Math. 106, Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.

Department of Mathematical Sciences
KAIST
Daejeon 305-701
Republic of Korea

E-mail address: jkkoo@math.kaist.ac.kr

Department of Mathematics
Hankuk University of Foreign Studies
Yongin-si, Gyeonggi-do 449-791
Republic of Korea

E-mail address: dhshin@hufs.ac.kr

National Institute for Mathematical Sciences
Daejeon 305-811
Republic of Korea

E-mail address: dsyoon@nims.re.kr